

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,019	09/17/2003	Robert P. Meagley	ITL.1015US (P16702)	7949
21906	7590 05/16		EXAMINER	
	NER & HU, PC	WALKE, AMANDA C		
8554 KATY SUITE 100	FREEWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 77024		1752	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Antique Communication		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/666,019	MEAGLEY ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Amanda C. Walke	1752	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2006.		
• _		action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	}
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,13-16,18 and 23-28</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	=		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 13-18, and 23-28 is/are reject	ed.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		)-(d) or (f).	
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Bureau	•	ra in and rainshar stage	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	
Attacher ===	(c)			
Attachment  1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) D Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/666,019

Art Unit: 1752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 13-16, 18, and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama et al (6,858,370).

Kodama et al disclose a positive photoresist composition comprising an acid generator meeting the instant claim limitations. Compounds of formula (II) in columns 13-16 appear to meet the instant claim limitations. The structure in column 13 (lines 1-24) has three substituents, which may all be an organic group not having an aromatic ring, preferably, 1-20 carbon alkyl groups, 2-oxoalkyl,or alkoxycarbonylmethyl group. Each of these being a preferred group would meet the instant claim limitations. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of the reference choosing each of the groups to be a straight chain or branched 2-oxoalkyl group, alkoxycarbonyl, or 1-20 carbon alkyl group with reasonable expectation of achieving a material having high etch resistance.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/23/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the reference fails to meet the instant claim limitations as the compounds of the reference are not entirely sigma bonded and do not contain cyclic groups.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,019

Art Unit: 1752

As discussed above, the generic formula in column 14 clearly teaches that all three substituents may be groups other than cyclic groups, therefore the rejection will be maintained.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MMMALC Walke Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

ACW May 9, 2006